

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/760,462	01/21/2004	Wieslaw Jerzy Szajnowski	1906-0130P	1410	
2292 7	2292 7590 12/30/2005			EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEV PO BOX 747	WART KOLASCH &	ALSOMIR	ALSOMIRI, ISAM A		
	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			3662		

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer		10/760,462	SZAJNOWSKI, WIESLAW JERZY			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Isam Alsomiri	3662			
Period fo	 The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply 	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nations of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report of the provision of the prov	1. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti eply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da bd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ute, cause the application to become ABANDON!	mely filed ys will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 October 2005</u> .						
· ·		nis action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠	 4) Claim(s) 22-28 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 22-24 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 25-28 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicat i	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠	10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 October 2005</u> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/112,873. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). 						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)						
	et(s) te of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	/ (PT∩.413)			
2) Notic 3) Infor	the of Neierlenees Cited (F10-032) the of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 the No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gallagher et al. US 5,414,428. Referring to claims 22-23, Gallagher discloses in figures 3-4 and 7-8 a system for 22. (Original) A signal processing method which a primary signal (received complex envelope) is modified by, at least, first and second auxiliary signals (at least 416c and 416m) in order to investigate the primary signal, each auxiliary signal comprising successive finite-duration shaped portions having relatively low-amplitude leading and trailing parts, portions being interleaved with, and overlapping, signal portions of the other auxiliary signal; wherein each shaped portion has shape substantially similar that of a squared cosine ([exp(-x)] and [(cos x)²] positive outputs).

Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Art Unit: 3662

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gallagher et al. US 5,414,428 in view of Kesler et al. "Mismatched filtering of sonar signals". Gallagher does not use a modified Kaiser window function. Kesler teaches a signal processing a known signal in a background of additive white Gaussian noise using a mismatched filter and Kaiser window functions. It would have been obvious to modify Gallagher's system to use Kaiser window functions for reducing the filter sidelobes without seriously affecting the capability of the system in white Gaussian noise.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 25-28 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed October 17, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claims 22-24, applicant argues However, neither Kesler nor Gallagher disclose, at least, "first and second auxiliary signals ... wherein each auxiliary signal comprises ... portions ... being interleaved with, and overlapping signal portions of the other auxiliary signal" as recited in claim 22. In response: Gallagher

Art Unit: 3662

does teach the claimed first and second auxiliary signals (at least 416c and 416m), see figure 4. The first and second exponential auxiliary signals due overlap, at least parts of the signals (tails) overlap $\exp(-2\pi^*f_1^*r^*\tau_0)$ and $\exp(-2\pi8f_{k-1}^*r^*\tau_0)$, therefore both functions due overlap in time. A simpler example f1(y)=e^{-x} and f2(y)=e^{-2x}, f1 and f2 due overlap. Therefore, the rejections are maintained.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isam Alsomiri whose telephone number is 571-272-6970. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00-5:00.

Application/Control Number: 10/760,462

Art Unit: 3662

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Tarcza can be reached on 571-272-6979. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isam Alsomiri

Décember 22, 2005

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600